

	Pros	Cons
Investor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relatively small investors can negotiate better economics (lower fees, better waterfall) for the investor. For example, a \$20m investment representing 2/3 of the sponsor capital in a \$600m partnership requiring \$30m (5%) of sponsor capital will likely have more negotiating strength than a \$20m “traditional” investment in a \$600m partnership. Similarly, relatively small investors can achieve more control over and/or visibility into partnership decisions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional liability such as personal recourse to the investor must be addressed or avoided through careful structuring and documentation.
Sponsor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Frees up otherwise illiquid sponsor equity for use in other projects, enabling sponsors to diversify their capital across more deals. Increases the expected IRR & equity multiple on the “true” sponsor capital in the deal. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> May require providing more observation rights, or even higher control levels, to investor. Presence of third-party sponsor capital should be disclosed, and may inhibit fundraising efforts.